Protective effect of mangiferin on doxorubicin-induced liver damage in animal model
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ABSTRACT: Mangiferin is a bioactive compound which has antioxidant effect, but its effect on liver protection during chemotherapy with doxorubicin is understudied. The objective of this study was to assess the protective effect of mangiferin on liver injury induced by doxorubicin. The doxorubicin was injected intraperitoneally at a total dose of 15 mg/kg b.w, and then the animals were orally supplemented with mangiferin (either 50 and 100 mg/kg b.w) for five weeks. The activity of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), the level of malondialdehyde (MDA) and glutathione, and liver histopathology were examined to evaluate the liver damage. The results showed that doxorubicin could cause liver cell damage to the rats. In addition, the study revealed that the supplementation of mangiferin (50 and 100 mg/kg b.w) decreased ALT and AST activities, as well as lipid peroxidation. It also increased SOD and GSH levels of liver cells (p <0.05). Our study proved that the improvement in liver function and oxidative stress parameters caused by doxorubicin, indicates that the mangiferin has a protective effect against liver damage induced by doxorubicin.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Doxorubicin is one of the anticancer drugs in the group of anthracycline, which is still widely used in chemotherapy of solid tumors and leukemia in children and adults. However, the administration of this drug is restricted due to potential damage to other organs such as the heart, kidney, brain, and liver. This side effect is caused by one of the anticancer action mechanisms in the forming of free radicals, which cause the side effects of the doxorubicin [1].

The formation of free radicals caused by the chemical structure of the doxorubicin is done in various pathways. The quinone cluster at the tetracycline ring of doxorubicin can undergo redox cycles of quinone-semiquinone-quinone by involving some enzymes and O2 that release a number of superoxide radicals. Moreover, the metabolism of doxorubicin can also cause the formation of reactive aglycone metabolite as well as ethanol metabolite (doxorubicinol), which can disrupt the intracellular iron balance. In this case, the administration of DOX can increase the production for free radical species (or reactive oxygen species-ROS) and disturb antioxidant defence balance which initiates oxidative damage [2]. Some studies revealed evidence regarding the toxicity of doxorubicin to organs, especially the heart and kidney [3-5].

The liver, an organ that plays a significant role in metabolism, could suffer damage due to the presence of doxorubicin. Some research shows that the damage of a liver is related to the inflammation process, free radicals, oxidative stress, and lipid peroxidation which all happen simultaneously due to the administration of doxorubicin [6]. The damage to various organs, including liver, due to the administration of doxorubicin is mediated by oxidative stress. It is characterized by an increase in lipid peroxidation and changes in SOD activity in DOX-treated rats. The arrangement of mediators involved in oxidative processes can prevent doxorubicin toxicity to some tissues. Therefore, antioxidants can be used to prevent the danger and pathology actions of free radicals, including those caused by the administration of doxorubicin.

A number of active materials from plants have been proven to consist of an antioxidant effect, leading to their usage in some countries to prevent the damage caused by free radicals. The bioactive materials
extracted from mangoes, mangiferin, for instance, have evidence of an antioxidant effect. In Indonesia, alongside many varieties of mango, *Mangifera foetida* is one of the varieties with high mangiferin content. The mangiferin has been found in the trunk, fruit, root, and leaves [7]. Some research revealed that mangiferin has the effect of antitumor [8], antiviral [9], antioxidant [10], antimicrobial [11], anti-inflammatory [12], antidiabetic [13], antiatherogenic and antihyperlipidemic, and iron chelating agents [14]. Other studies also showed that the xanthone compound has strong antioxidant activity through free radical scavenger and its iron-chelating properties [15, 16]. Therefore, this study seeks an indication of the protective effect of mangiferin on the damaged liver that is induced with DOX through the control of oxidative damage in tissues. Liver damage was evaluated by measuring the liver function parameters and liver histopathology. In addition, oxidative damage was measured by examining lipid peroxidation markers and antioxidant properties (SOD activity and GSH level) in plasma and liver.

2. RESULTS
2.1. Effect of mangiferin on liver function parameters

This study shows that the use of doxorubicin contributes to increasing the activities of ALT and AST significantly compared to the normal rats. What is more, the supplementation of mangiferin 50 and 100 mg/kg b.w resulted in decreasing activities of ALT (p<0.05) and AST (p<0.05) significantly in the induced rats (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](https://dx.doi.org/10.29228/jrp.102)

**Figure 1.** The effects of doxorubicin and mangiferin on liver function parameters. ALT enzyme activity (A), AST enzyme activity (B). Values are presented as mean ± SD (n=5 for each group). p < 0.05 vs. CON group. *p < 0.05 vs DOX group.

2.2. Effect of mangiferin on oxidative stress parameters

Figure 2 shows the effect of doxorubicin and mangiferin on GSH levels (A) and SOD activity (B) in the liver’s rat and MDA level (C) in the plasma and liver. Upon the administration of doxorubicin, the GSH level in liver markedly decreased that is 2.70 ± 0.63 vs. 6.67 ± 1.72 (p< 0.01). The reduction of SOD activity in the liver also happened in rats treated by DOX compared to the normal rats (1.48 ± 0.22 vs. 150.25 ± 7.61, p < 0.05). Our data showed that the MDA level in the liver is higher than the MDA level in the plasma. Similarly, the MDA level increased significantly, both in plasma and liver tissue compared to the normal groups (plasma 0.28 ± 0.04 vs. 0.19 ± 0.02, p<0.01 and liver 2.30 ± 0.16 vs. 0.96 ± 0.16, p<0.01). The administration of mangiferin 50 and 100 mg/kg b.w has led to increasing GSH levels (p<0.01) and SOD activity (p<0.05) in the induced rats. Notably, mangiferin did not significantly differ at the low and high doses in change the GSH and SOD activity. The supplementation of all doses of mangiferin dropped the MDA level significantly (p< 0.05 and p<0.01 in the liver). Interestingly, mangiferin 100 mg/kg b.w was superior to mangiferin 50 mg/kg b.w in repaired lipid peroxidation levels in the liver of induced rats.

2.3. Effect of mangiferin on histopathology of liver

This research has found various damages on liver cell structure, including necrosis, degradation, sinusoidal dilatation, polymorphonuclear and mononuclear infiltration, and Kupffer cell proliferation at the induced rats. The damage does not happen at all in induced rats. Two of five induced rats indicated normal liver histopathology (Figure 3). The damages, however, are found in the rates that have been applied MNG 50
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As reported in the study, although it looks lighter, we did not score because of the very large variety of liver histopathology findings. Figure 3 shows the liver histopathology qualitatively in which represents the liver description of this study.

Figure 2. The effects of doxorubicin and mangiferin on oxidative stress markers. GSH level (A), SOD activity (B), MDA level (C) in plasma and liver rats. Values are presented as mean ± SD (n=5 for each group). *p < 0.05 vs. CON group. #p < 0.05 vs DOX group.

3. DISCUSSION

This research analyzed the damage on liver cells caused by the administration of doxorubicin as well as examines the effect of the administration of mangiferin in protecting hepatocyte damage. One of the action mechanisms of doxorubicin is to produce ROS. This mechanism was initiated by the anticancer effect and side effects of the doxorubicin. In addition, the administration of the doxorubicin can decrease the defence of the endogenous antioxidant, so that oxidative stress occurs. Silymarin is a flavonoid which has an antioxidant effect, and it has been proven to reduce the toxicity of doxorubicin in some organs. This concern has motivated us to use silymarin as a positive control in this research [17].

The findings of the research show that the usage of doxorubicin increased the activity of ALT and AST. The damage of liver cells, regardless of its cause, could contribute to the release of enzymes in the cytoplasm or mitochondria from cells to blood circulation. The ALT enzyme is located primarily on the liver cell cytosol. In contrast, the AST enzyme can be found in liver cells including cells in other organs, notably the heart, kidney, and pancreas. The increased activities in such enzymes are considered as a symptom of the damage to liver cells. In the case of acute liver damages, the ALT escalates more significantly than the AST [18]. This result shows that the injection of doxorubicin damages liver cells, corresponding to the results of other studies [6,19].

The doxorubicin is used as an anticancer to counteract the growth of abnormal cancer cells. Nonetheless, the action mechanism of the doxorubicin in damaging the cells was through destructing DNA so that the cell becomes apoptosis [2]. In dealing with this situation, the administration of mangiferin 50 and 100 mg/kg b.w in the rats has maintained the activities of ALT and AST levels close to the activities of enzymes as in a normal group. This is due to the ability of the mangiferin in increasing the endogenous antioxidant defence.
mechanisms through nuclear erythroid-2 related factor-2 (Nrf2) and decreased inflammation by inhibiting NF-κB. The transcription factor of Nrf2 controlled antioxidant protein-coding genes such as GSH and phase II metabolic enzymes like NQ01 and GST. Oxidative stress causes Nrf2 in the cytosol to translocate to the nucleus, binding with the antioxidant response element (ARE). This condition will lead to an increase in the antioxidant enzyme transcription and decrease its sensitivity to oxidative damage in which will cause the cytoprotection effect [20, 21].

Figure 3. The effects of doxorubicin and mangiferin on liver histopathology overview. A. Necrosis, Midzonal degradation, C. Cytoplasmic vacuolization, and D. Normal.

The administration of doxorubicin in this research has also significantly decreased the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the liver. This result is in line with the findings of other studies [6,22]. Similarly, the usage of doxorubicin can decrease protein content and cytosolic SOD enzyme activities by oxidating active sites or forming cross-link protein [3]. Likewise, enzymatic defence is a process where the SOD plays an essential role in the defence of antioxidants in the very front line in the body. In this case, the SOD acts as the catalyst in O$_2^-$ dismutation reaction and forms H$_2$O$_2$ which are later changed into water and O$_2$ through other enzymatic reactions. The accumulation of ROS, accompanied by a decrease in antioxidant defence activities, will cause oxidative stress [20, 23].

The decline in SOD activities shows that doxorubicin injection will disrupt the equilibrium of endogenous antioxidant defence. However, it was unsure whether the decrease in SOD activities was associated with a dropped endogenous antioxidant or due to the accumulation of ROS, which made the activities of SOD reach its saturation level. To figure out the cause, SOD activities should be examined serially.
In addition, this research reveals that the administration of doxorubicin reduced GSH content in the liver of induced rats more significantly than the normal group. The lower level of GSH is considered as a sign of cellular oxidative stress due to the activities of the hydroxyl radical which abstracts one hydrogen atom from methane group in PUFA membrane phospholipids leading to the formation of superoxide radicals and peroxynitrite.

Doxorubicin can directly interact with the DNA strands of the liver cell damages associated with the administration of doxorubicin ranging from Kupffer cell atypia, inflammation, degeneration, pleomorphism of hepatocyte, ductus proliferation, cytoplasmic granulation, and necrosis.

The higher the doxorubicin content is the more O$_2^-$ increases. The O$_2^-$ radical can penetrate to transporting channels of ferritin. It also has a lower redox potential than ferric ion in the ferritin core. The combination of these two factors contributes to the extraction of iron from ferritin core so the release of iron in the form of Fe$^{2+}$ occurs. Doxorubicin semiquinone can release the iron-bound on ferritin with an unknown mechanism 3. The doxorubicin is also reactive against cytoplasmic aconitase. The reactivity of doxorubicinol causes an increase in free iron content 3, 25.

The supplementation of mangiferin 50 and 100 mg/kg b.w significantly decreased the MDA content in the liver and plasma compared to the DOX group. The ability of mangiferin in lessening lipid peroxidase can be associated with its antioxidant activities. Mangiferin had the activity of scavenging free radicals. Many research has also shown that mangiferin has high activities in scavenging free radicals, such as DPPH, O$_2^-$, NO, OH- or galvinoxyl 12. In addition, the effect of mangiferin antioxidant is caused by its properties as Fe$^{2+}$ chelator, which can induce Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation, not merely because of its scavenger property. Similarly, the mangiferin leads Fe$^{2+}$ to undergo swift oxidation and prevents Fe$^{3+}$ reduction by ascorbate so that it can limit the availability of iron ions to be involved in Fenton and Haber-Weiss reaction. In the end, lipid peroxidation can be restricted 15. This study also found that the administration of mangiferin increase SOD activities as well as escalate GSH content in the liver up to the same level of the control group. The rise in GSH content in this research indicated that mangiferin could potentially protect hepatocytes from oxidative damage induced by doxorubicin. The repair between low and high mangiferin doses was not significantly different.

Several previous studies related to this research reported that the liver damage was due to the injection of doxorubicin through a histopathology examination. The damages in liver cells can be in the form of necrosis, atypia, inflammation, degeneration, pleomorphism of hepatocyte, ductus proliferation, cytoplasmic eosinophilia, parenchymal necrosis, and inflammation cells around the portal area 22. In this research, we conducted a histopathology examination to check liver damage due to the administration of doxorubicin and mangiferin. The liver cell damages associated with the administration of doxorubicin ranged from Kupffer cell inflammation, polymorphonuclear and mononuclear infiltration, sinusoidal dilatation, degeneration, and cytoplasmic granulation, and necrosis. However, the damages are not consistent in all animals in the DOX group.

In the DOX+MGN group, we also found cell damages as in the DOX group. Despite not all animals in this group showed this abnormal situation. From this observation, it is difficult to conclude the effect of mangiferin on the damaged hepatocytes due to variation in the results of liver histopathology. Although the histopathology overview of liver tissue gained in this research was similar to some previous research studies.
[26,27], it is inconclusive for the effects of doxorubicin and mangiferin toward liver cell damage at the histopathology. This is in line with other studies stating that the administration of antioxidants did not protect liver cells from damages due to the administration of doxorubicin at the histopathology level [26]. The administration of mangiferin presented repair in histopathology of the liver cell only in some cases. However, worse damage to liver cells was also found in other cases.

4. CONCLUSION

This research concludes that doxorubicin-induced oxidative damage to the liver cell. Likewise, the administration of mangiferin of 50 mg/kg b.w and 100 mg/kg b.w can prevent the liver cell of the rats from oxidative damage.

5. MATERIALS AND METHODS

5.1. Chemicals and animal

The doxorubicin was purchased from Combiphar (Indonesia), and mangiferin 99%, along with silymarin 90% (SIL), was purchased from Plamed Science Technology (Xian, China). Bradford reagent, BSA, dl-epinephrine, thiobarbituric acid, standard MDA (TMEP), standard GSH, and DTNB were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Enzymatic kits to determine the aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) activities were obtained from Diasys (Germany). Other reagents were obtained from Merck (USA).

This research used plasma and liver tissues from 25 male Sprague-Dawley rats, 12-16 weeks of age, with 150-200 grams body weight. The rats were derived from Bogor Agricultural Institute (IPB University), and they had been induced with doxorubicin. All rats were housed in the standard laboratory with temperature 23±2°C, 35-60% humidity, and the light-dark cycle of 12 h during the experiment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia (No. 475/PT02.FK/ETIK/2012).

5.2. Experimental design

The rats were assigned randomly into five groups of five rats and received the treatment for five weeks. The detailed treatment for the group of rats is described as follows:

- The normal group was given corn oil and NaCl 0.9% (intraperitoneal with the schedule based on the injection of doxorubicin).
- The DOX group was dosed doxorubicin 2.5 mg/kg b.w every 48 hours for two weeks (cumulative dose of 15 mg/kg b.w).
- The DOX+MGN 50 mg/kg b.w group was applied doxorubicin for two weeks with additional mangiferin 50 mg/kg b.w which was extended to five weeks.
- The DOX+MGN 100 mg/kg b.w group received similar treatment to the DOX+MGN 50 mg/kg b.w group, except that the dose was 100 mg/kg b.w.
- The positive control group was given DOX for two weeks with the addition of Silymarin (SIL) 50 mg/kg b.w for five weeks.

Doxorubicin was administered intraperitoneally, while mangiferin and silymarin were given orally. Determination of mangiferin dosage based on previous studies with modifications [29]. After five weeks, all rats were sacrificed by cervical decapitation. The blood was collected using tubes coated EDTA. The plasma was used to estimate MDA level, AST and ALT activity. The liver tissue was excised and rinsed in ice-cold normal saline, then homogenized with a teflon homogenizer at 4°C in normal saline.

The plasma and liver homogenate were used to calculate malondialdehyde level by the method TBA-Wills [30], the activity of SOD by the Misra dan Fridovichs method [31], and the reduced glutathione (GSH) was estimated using Ellman’s method [32].

5.3. Liver histopathological study

To begin with, a portion of the liver tissue was rinsed with normal saline then fixed in 10% buffered formalin phosphate. A piece of liver was embedded in paraffin and cut into five μm-thick, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Assessing the histopathology of the liver used a routine procedure, and it was examined under the microscope’s light. The alterations that relate to histopathological changes were examined qualitatively by a certified pathologist.
5.4. Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The statistical analysis was carried out by one-way ANOVA followed by LSD using the software. The values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.
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