Comparative evaluation of *Irvingia gabonensis* seed fat extract and other bases in promethazine hydrochloride suppository formulations
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**ABSTRACT:** A study has been made on the formulation and evaluation of promethazine hydrochloride suppositories using dika fat (DF) from *Irvingia gabonensis* seeds as a base comparatively with both water and fatty soluble bases. Rectal suppositories containing promethazine hydrochloride (25 mg) in pre-calibrated mould were prepared by fusion method using DF, macrogol (MG), cocoa butter (CB) and cocoa butter + 10%w/w (weight by weight) beeswax (CBW) as bases. Thereafter the suppositories were characterized using parameters such as appearance, crushing strength, weight variation, melting point, pH, liquefaction time, content uniformity, and in vitro release in accordance with standard procedures. Results obtained indicated that the bases used generally could be ranked in the order of DF > CB > MG > CBW in terms of favourable physicochemical properties investigated. The foregoing indicates that DF has promising potential and could be a substitute suppository base in the formulation of promethazine hydrochloride suppositories.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Wild mango (*Irvingia gabonensis*, Aubry Lecomte ex O’Rork-Baill, family: Simarubaceae) grows naturally in parts of Africa extending from Senegal to the Sudan and to the South of Angola. The fruit, which is not related botanically to the well-known, cultivated mango *Mangifera indica* L. It is available between July and October. It grows about 40 m in height with a straight bole of up to 100 cm in diameter. It can be found in tropics, where it is highly-valued for multiple uses, one of which is the edible seeds used in soups and as a food flavor [1]. Due to the pleasant roasty-nutty aroma generated upon thermal processing, the roasted seeds are commonly used in Africa as a flavoring in traditional dishes. Numerous data are reported in the literature on nutritional and dietary aspects of the seeds [2-9].

*Irvingia gabonensis* fruits are edible, and their use in traditional medicine has been documented for the treatment of gastrointestinal or hepatic disorders, diarrhea, infections, and as a purgative. Recently, the seeds have become available on the U.S. market as a dietary supplement and have shown high in vitro antioxidant capacity, significant effects in body weight loss, blood lipid decreases, and a lowering of plasma glucose in...
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experimental animal or human subject studies [5, 10-13]. For several reasons, there is growing interest in the pharma industry to make use of natural products in research and development; for example, dika fat, a vegetable lipid obtained from the seeds has been reported in several studies [14-18]. The fat is solid at ambient tropical weather and can only be isolated from its plant source by means of expression or solvent extraction. It is soluble in several organic solvents such as n-hexane, cyclohexane, petroleum ether, diethylether, chloroform, toluene, acetone and ethanol. The fat is amber in colour and has a faint odour. Several physicochemical properties of dika fat such as iodine value, saponification number, free fatty acid and differential scanning calorimetry have been determined [19].

Several investigators have reported different functional properties of the mucilage from the seeds; for example, Odeku & Patani [16] reported the binding property in tablet formulation and concluded that, incorporation of dika nut mucilage increased disintegration and dissolution times and as such could be used to modify drug release while Isimi et al [14] investigated the emulsifying and suspending properties with a conclusion that, the mucilage produced more stable emulsions and suspensions at the concentrations evaluated than when acacia or tragacanth was used. In a similar but different study, Onyechi & Udeala [17] studied the lubricant effect of dika fat in tableting and concluded that dika fat did not confer negative effects on hardness, disintegration and dissolution of the formulated tablets. In more advanced studies reported by Ofoefule et al [20] and Okore [21], dika fat was employed as an effective carrier in sustained release tablet preparations and as a promising film coating agent in microencapsulation.

Suppository is a solid delivery system designed for insertion into rectum where they melt, dissolve or disperse and exert a local, or a systemic effect. Suppositories can be prepared by using lipophilic hydrophilic bases. They are a dosage form being developed as an alternative to existing ones in order to overcome certain challenges often encountered in their administration [22]. Promethazine is a phenothiazine derivative with antihistaminic, sedative and antiemetic properties. It selectively blocks peripheral H1 receptors thereby diminishing the effects of histamine on effector cells as an antihistamine. Its anti-emetic effect is through the blockage of action of acetylcholine and this explains the benefit in reducing nausea and vomiting [23]. The challenges associated with usage of oral or parenteral drugs in critically ill patients suffering from nausea and vomiting necessitated consideration of rectal route as a remedy. The choice of dika fat from plant source is to explore possible solution commonly associated with usage of cocoa butter with different polymorphs and softening temperatures in the tropical regions.

The present study involves evaluation of dika fat as a suppository base comparatively with three other bases namely cocoa butter, cocoa butter +10% beeswax (Fatty bases) and macrogol (water soluble bases) using an antiemetic agent, promethazine hydrochloride as the model drug.

2. RESULTS

Dika seeds gave a percentage yield of 51.3% w/w of the fat. The fat obtained was a light yellow coloured solid with characteristic odour. Table 1 shows the different suppository formulations and their composition. Figure 1 shows the FTIR spectroscopy results obtained for the different suppository formulations with or without their respective bases. The results of the characterization of promethazine hydrochloride suppositories including control formulations are all presented in Table 2. Drug content after formulation and production must exhibit uniformity from dose to dose in compliance with standard specifications. The percentage content of the drug in all the formulations is graphically presented in Figure 2. Medicaments must be available for therapeutic activity from dosage forms at the site of action. For rectal administration, the drug is expected to either diffuse or partition out of the bases after melting, dispersed or dissolved in body fluids. This is to be followed by local effect or absorption if meant for systemic effect. The release rates of the various formulations in this study is presented in Figure 3 while the release kinetics of the different formulations is shown in Table 3.
Figure 1. FTIR spectrum of Macrogol (A), Dika fat (B), Promethazine HCl+Dika fat (C), Promethazine HCl+Macrogol (D), Promethazine HCl (E).
Table 1. Different suppository formulations and their composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>PMG</th>
<th>PCB</th>
<th>PCBW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promethazine Hydrochloride</td>
<td>1g</td>
<td>1g</td>
<td>1g</td>
<td>1g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dika fat to</td>
<td>80.0g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa butter to</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63.7g</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa butter + 10 % w/w Beeswax to</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75.5g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG 1000 (80 %w/w) + PEG 4000 (20 %w/w) to</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98.3g</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDF = Promethazine HCl+Dika fat, PMG = Promethazine HCl+Macrogol base, PCB = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter, PCBW = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter+10% w/w beeswax.

Figure 2. Percentage content of Promethazine Hydrochloride suppositories.
PDF = Promethazine HCl+Dika fat, PMG = Promethazine HCl+Macrogol base, PCB = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter, PCBW = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter+10% w/w beeswax.

Table 2. Physicochemical and release parameters of the suppositories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical and release parameters</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>PMG</th>
<th>MG</th>
<th>PCB</th>
<th>CB</th>
<th>PCBW</th>
<th>CBW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Torpedo</td>
<td>Light yellowish</td>
<td>Torpedo</td>
<td>Off-white</td>
<td>Torpedo</td>
<td>Light yellowish</td>
<td>Torpedo</td>
<td>Light yellowish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Light yellowish</td>
<td>1.95±0.01</td>
<td>Light yellowish</td>
<td>2.43±0.03</td>
<td>Off-white</td>
<td>2.01±0.01</td>
<td>Light yellowish</td>
<td>1.94±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight (g)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness/crushing strength (N)</td>
<td>19.02±1.10</td>
<td>11.16±1.12</td>
<td>17.64±1.53</td>
<td>19.42±1.27</td>
<td>1.27±1.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point (°C)</td>
<td>38.10±0.68</td>
<td>37.44±0.61</td>
<td>33.02±0.55</td>
<td>36.70±0.98</td>
<td>36.70±0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefaction time (min)</td>
<td>32.00±2.12</td>
<td>32.10±2.16</td>
<td>32.10±2.16</td>
<td>17.20±1.64</td>
<td>1.53±1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.52±0.02</td>
<td>5.21±0.01</td>
<td>5.72±0.01</td>
<td>4.44±0.01</td>
<td>5.23±0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement value</td>
<td>0.31±0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.87±0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.07±0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDF = Promethazine HCl+Dika fat, PMG = Promethazine HCl+Macrogol base, PCB = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter, PCBW = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter+10% w/w beeswax.
Figure 3. Release profile of Promethazine hydrochloride suppositories.

PDF=Promethazine HCl+Dika fat, PMG=Promethazine HCl+Macrogol base, PCB=Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter, PCBW=Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter+10%w/w beeswax.

Table 3. Release rate constant and model fitting parameters for release kinetics of different Promethazine hydrochloride suppository formulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release kinetic model</th>
<th>Selection parameter</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>PMG</th>
<th>PCB</th>
<th>PCBW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero-order</td>
<td>K₀ (min)</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-order</td>
<td>K₁ (mg/min)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higuchi</td>
<td>K₃ (mg/min²)</td>
<td>8.434</td>
<td>7.663</td>
<td>14.180</td>
<td>7.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korsmeyer-</td>
<td>KₓP (mg/minⁿ)</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppas</td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDF = Promethazine HCl+Dika fat, PMG = Promethazine HCl+Macrogol base, PCB = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter, PCBW = Promethazine HCl+cocoa butter+10%w/w beeswax.

3. DISCUSSION

Dika fat yields 51.3% w/w. The fat obtained was a light yellow coloured solid with its own characteristic odour. The yield can sustain commercial production because of the availability of the seeds all year round. It grows naturally in parts of Africa including Nigeria, although the perennial tree generally produces fruits between July and October, it is found all year round because it is highly-valued for multiple uses including as edible seeds in soups and as a food [1].

FTIR spectroscopic studies were conducted to study any interaction between the drug and the suppositories bases used in the formulation of promethazine suppositories. The FTIR spectra of PMZ, dika fat, Macrogol as well as suppositories containing PMZ with dika fat and PMZ with Macrogol are represented in Figure 1(A-E). The PMZ spectrum showed principal peaks at 2200-2480 cm⁻¹ for NH+ stretching, at 1453 cm⁻¹ for CH₃ and CH₂ bending and 756 cm⁻¹ for out of plane CH bending of disubstituted aromatic respectively. The prominent bands displayed by PMZ spectrum are also present in the suppository containing dika fat at 2344 cm⁻¹ and 723 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1C) respectively. The presence of these same absorption bands as the pure drug demonstrates the absence of interaction between drug and suppository base (dika fat) used.
However, there was complete deletion of the disubstituted aromatic band and a considerable shrinkage of the absorption bands representing NH\textsuperscript* stretching for CH\textsubscript{3} and CH\textsubscript{2} bending in the suppository containing Macrogol (Figure 1C), implying some level of interactions between the drug and suppository base (Macrogol) used. The FTIR spectra of unloaded suppositories (Figures 1A and B) were also taken to note any changes that occur during preparation. All the unloaded suppositories exhibited the spectra characteristic of dika fat and Macrogol, whereas it could be concluded that, the FTIR spectra of loaded suppositories show dominant peaks of drug molecule, but intensity weakens due to physical interaction between suppository base (especially Macrogol) and drug molecule; this interaction may actually be due to weak ionic interaction between them.

The dissected suppositories were found to be uniform in appearance and contained no air bubbles. There were equally no holes or brittle, thus, the suppositories were not fragile and could withstand transportation and other mechanical exposures [24].

All the suppositories prepared with four different bases satisfied the BP requirement for weight uniformity as can be seen in Table 2. Not more than two individual suppositories deviated from the average weight by more than 5% and no suppository differed from the average weight by more than 10% [25]. The relative standard deviations (RSD) of the mean weight of the suppositories were less than 3.5%.

The suppositories were evaluated for hardness or crushing strength as a measure of their mechanical strength to withstand handling and transportation. The results of the crushing strength of different bases without medicament showed significant \((p < 0.05)\) differences. The order of the strengths are CBW (20.2N)>DF (18.8N)> CB (17.42)MG (11.12N) while that of those containing medicaments had similar strengths with the blank bases. They are of the order; PCBW (19.42N)>PDF (19.02N)>PCB (17.64)PMG (11.16N). These values except that of PMG fall within the range reported by Azhgikhin [26] as acceptable forces (17.7-19.6N). The inclusion of promethazine hydrochloride (PHCL) did not have appreciable effect on the crushing strengths of the suppositories, probably because of its low concentration in the bases.

The pH of the formulations ought to be compatible with the body pH, as any adverse pH may result in adverse reactions. The mean pH of all the formulations including the placebos are presented in Table 2. Not more than two individual suppositories deviated from the average pH of more than 0.1. The pH values of all the suppositories are classified as acceptable forces (17.7-19.6N). The pH of the formulations ought to be compatible with the body pH, as any adverse pH may result in adverse reactions. The mean pH of all the formulations including the placebos are presented in Table 2. Not more than two individual suppositories deviated from the average pH of more than 0.1. The pH values of all the suppositories are classified as acceptable forces (17.7-19.6N). The inclusion of promethazine hydrochloride (PHCL) did not have appreciable effect on the crushing strengths of the suppositories, probably because of its low concentration in the bases.

The suppositories were evaluated for hardness or crushing strength as a measure of their mechanical strength to withstand handling and transportation. The results of the crushing strength of different bases without medicament showed significant \((p < 0.05)\) differences. The order of the strengths are CBW (20.2N)>DF (18.8N)> CB (17.42)MG (11.12N) while that of those containing medicaments had similar strengths with the blank bases. They are of the order; PCBW (19.42N)>PDF (19.02N)>PCB (17.64)PMG (11.16N). These values except that of PMG fall within the range reported by Azhgikhin [26] as acceptable forces (17.7-19.6N). The inclusion of promethazine hydrochloride (PHCL) did not have appreciable effect on the crushing strengths of the suppositories, probably because of its low concentration in the bases.

The pH of the formulations ought to be compatible with the body pH, as any adverse pH may result in adverse reactions. The mean pH of all the formulations including the placebos are presented in Table 2. Not more than two individual suppositories deviated from the average pH of more than 0.1. The pH values of all the suppositories are classified as acceptable forces (17.7-19.6N). The inclusion of promethazine hydrochloride (PHCL) did not have appreciable effect on the crushing strengths of the suppositories, probably because of its low concentration in the bases.

The melting point of cocoa butter with and without the drug was 32.1°C as can be seen in Table 2. This is quite low for storage in the tropics. This necessitated the inclusion of 10 %w/w beeswax that meet ideal suppository base. The melting points of PDF, PMG, PCBW are 38.1°C, 37.8°C and 36.7°C respectively. These were found to fall within the acceptable range for suppository formulations [27]. However, the melting points of PDF and PMG were found to be higher than their respective placebo bases which are 37.6°C and 36.4°C respectively. This increase which is probably as a result of inclusion of the medicament may impact on the release of the drug as this may prolong liquefaction time [28]. Liquefaction testing provides information on the behavior of a suppository when subjected to a maximum temperature of 37°C [29]. The liquefaction times for all the suppositories showed significant \((p<0.05)\) difference. They are of the order PMG (33.0±2.121)>PDF (32.0±2.121)>PCBW (17.2±1.643)>PCB (12.8±1.643). These values fall closely to within acceptable limits. Liquefaction should not take longer than 30 minutes [30]. A suppository that does not liquefy easily may exert irritant action on the rectal mucosa and release of the drug from the base may take longer time. The liquefaction times of PMG and PDF in this study appear ideal, the gradual dissolution or melting of this suppositories may enhance steady release of the drug from the bases. There is also possibility of reliable, higher rectum retention time as opposed to those of PCB and PCBW which may melt faster than necessary due to their low liquefaction times and could even wash away from the rectum without releasing the active ingredient.
The mean drug content for the suppositories met the USP requirement for the content uniformity. The mean drug content of the four batches fell within 96.7-99.2% as can be seen in Figure 1. Variation of active ingredient content in suppositories is usually due to the inappropriate mixing of the molten bases or due to sedimentation by gravity [31]. The conformity of the mean drug content implies that fusion method is appropriate for the preparation of promethazine hydrochloride suppositories and proper mixing of the bases and promethazine hydrochloride powder was achieved by the use of glass bead and magnetic stirrer.

Drug release is an important property of a therapeutic system, constituting a prerequisite to absorption of the therapeutic agent and one that contributes to the rate and extent of active availability to the body when intended for systemic action or easy availability when it is for local action. The in vitro dissolution studies revealed that release rate was higher in oil soluble bases compared to the water soluble base. Suppositories produced with macrogol exhibited poor release characteristics with 43.6% of drug released in 45 minutes as can be seen in Figure 2. Promethazine hydrochloride is a water soluble drug, the affinity of macrogol for water soluble drugs may promote the entrapment of the drug and hinder the drug release as observed in this study. Similar report in the study by Hosny [32] shows increased rate of diazepam release from PEG suppositories due to low affinity between the drug and the base. Another report by Realdon et al [33] also documented that drugs with low aqueous solubility are more easily released from PEG suppositories while the release rate from those that are more aqueous soluble is less.

This result also corroborates our FTIR result which revealed some level of chemical interaction between macrogol and promethazine hydrochloride as explained earlier. Worthy of note too, is the post production color change just 5 days after storage from light yellow to pinkish colouration, a further confirmation of interaction. Promethazine hydrochloride has less affinity for lipophilic bases, hence there is higher tendency to diffuse to the surrounding aqueous medium, thus water-soluble drugs are preferred in fatty base suppositories. Cocoa butter suppositories have the highest percentage of drug released as can be seen in Figure 2 after 45 minutes and this might be due to lower melting point, softening point and liquefaction time of the base than other bases.

Studies on drug release kinetics provide important information into the behavior of the vehicles and the drug in a system. In this study, the results of the release studies were analyzed using Zero-order, First-order and Higuchi release models. Adjusted Coefficient of Determination (R adjusted) was used in selecting the best-fit release method. Based on the selection criteria, PCBW fitted well into first order ($R^2 = 0.995$), while PDF ($R^2 = 0.934$), PMG ($R^2 = 0.914$) and PCB ($R^2 = 0.98$) may fit into Higuchi square root model.

The release mechanism of promethazine hydrochloride from the suppositories was analyzed with Korsmeyer-peppas model. The release exponents, $n$, for all the formulations range from 0.36 to 0.69. The value of $n$ for PDF is 0.36, indicating Fickian diffusion mechanism, diffusion that is controlled by the concentration gradient of the drug in the base. The values of $n$ for PMG, PCB and PCBW were greater than 0.5 suggesting non-Fickian diffusion mechanisms of drug release from the suppositories. Here the release of drug from the bases involves melting of the base, partitioning and diffusion of the drug through the molten base into the dissolution medium rather than one type of release phenomenon postulated by Higuchi model [34] Non-Fickian diffusion mechanism is able to ensure better release of the medicament, faster absorption and timely onset of action.

4. CONCLUSION

The promethazine hydrochloride suppositories using dika fat and three other bases were successfully formulated and evaluated. This study shows that, of the four suppository bases interrogated viz; Dika Fat, macrogol, cocoa butter and blend of cocoa butter and beeswax, Dika fat which is obtained from an abundant plant source in the tropics may be a very good substitute to the more expensive bases. The in vivo performance of the optimized formulations is ongoing in our laboratory and will form a separate report.
5. MATERIALS AND METHODS

5.1. Extraction process

The plant material, *Irvingia gabonensis* seeds were purchased from Karmo market, Abuja, Nigeria. The material was identified and authenticated at the herbarium section of National Institute for Pharmaceutical Research and Development (NIPRD), Abuja where a voucher specimen (NIPRD/H/6983) was deposited. The seeds were milled into powder form, 380 g of the pulverized seed was weighed out using the analytical balance (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland) and then macerated with n-hexane at a ratio of 1:10. The mixture was left to stand for 72 hours after which the supernatant was decanted and heated in water bath at about 100°C for n-hexane to evaporate. The dika fat was collected, weighed and stored at room temperature.

Materials used include promethazine hydrochloride powder (Sigma Aldrich), n-hexane (Lobachemie, India), Aluminum foil (Novena foil, China), Liquid paraffin, Beeswax, Cocoa butter, Polyethylene glycol 1000 and 4000 (EmproveExp Merck, Germany), Sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate, Sodium hydroxide (Analar, Merck, Germany), distilled water (NIPRD Laboratory, Nigeria).

5.2. Preparation of promethazine hydrochloride suppositories using different bases

Pour moulding method was used for the production of the suppositories in pre-calibrated mould with different bases. Calculated displacement values were used in determining the various final quantities of the bases used. The suppository mould was properly cleaned and lubricated with liquid paraffin. Appropriate quantities of bases were weighed differently into a beaker and placed in a water bath at about 60°C to melt. A quantity of promethazine hydrochloride (25 mg per suppository) was weighed and vigorously stirred together with melted bases at about 50°C using a magnetic stirrer to allow for homogenous mixture. This procedure was repeated for production of placebo suppositories as control formulations. The mixture was poured into the mould until it overflowed, the top was filled as the solidifying mixture was shrinking. The mould content was allowed to solidify. The mould was then unscrewed, suppositories were removed and wrapped in aluminium foil until further experiments were carried out.

5.3. Evaluation of suppositories

5.3.1. Appearance

Six suppositories were randomly selected from each batch including placebo batches and they were observed as an intact unit and also after splitting them longitudinally. Colour, odour, shape, the presence or absence of fissuring, pitting, exudation, sedimentation, and the migration of the active ingredients were also assessed.

5.3.2. Weight uniformity

The weight uniformity test was carried out as described in the British Pharmacopoeia [25]. Twenty suppositories were randomly selected from each batch of the formulations, weighed individually using an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland), the mean weights and standard deviations were calculated.

5.3.3. Determination of pH

The pH of each melted suppository was determined using a pH meter (Jenway, UK). All measurements were an average of three determinations and expressed as mean ± S.D.

5.3.4. Hardness/ Crushing strength

The crushing strength, a measure of mechanical strength or hardness of the suppository was determined using the hardness tester (Erweka GmbH Germany). Six suppositories randomly selected from each batch were used for the determination. The weight under which each suppository collapsed was recorded in Kilogram force and converted to Newton.
5.3.5. Liquefaction time

Six suppositories were randomly selected from each batch, 60 mL of phosphate buffer with a pH of 7.4 was heated up to 37°C ± 1°C and maintained, the suppository was dropped inside the buffer and the time taken for the suppository to completely dissolve or melt was noted as the liquefaction time.

5.3.6. Melting point determination

The melting point of promethazine hydrochloride suppositories were determined according to the method reported by Adebayo & Akala [35]. A suppository, randomly selected from each batch was placed in a beaker with a thermometer inserted. The beaker was immersed in a water bath at about 6 cm depth regulated to a gradual temperature increase of 1°C/2 min. The temperatures at which the suppository sample began to melt was taken as melting point. The results obtained were average of five determinations. The melting point of bland suppository bases was also similarly determined.

5.3.7. Content uniformity

Six suppositories were randomly selected from each batch and assayed individually for drug content. A suppository was weighed and placed in a beaker containing 60 ml of phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) that was pre-heated to 37°C. The temperature of the phosphate buffer was maintained at 37±1°C. When the suppository had been completely melted and dispersed, the mixture was made up to 100 ml with the phosphate buffer. The mixture was stirred at 100 rpm for 5 minutes using magnetic stirrer and thereafter filtered through a cotton plug. The absorbance of 5 ml of filtered portions was measured in UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Jenway 6505, UK) at 250 nm. The concentration of the promethazine hydrochloride in the solution was calculated from a standard Beer-Lambert curve.

5.3.8. Release studies

The magnetic stirrer and beaker assembly was adopted [36]. The medium consisted of freshly prepared 100 ml of sodium phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.4 and was maintained at temperature of 37 ± 1°C. In each case, one suppository from each batch was placed in the phosphate buffer and the magnetic stirrer was set at 50 rpm. At 5 minutes interval and over a period of 45 minutes, 10ml portion of the release medium were withdrawn with syringe and was filtered through a cotton plug. The volume of the release medium was kept constant by replacing the withdrawn with phosphate buffer at each interval. Absorbance of 5 ml of filtered portions was determined using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Jenway 6505, UK) at 250 nm. The various absorbance values were thereafter converted to the concentrations with reference to Beer-Lambert plot.

5.3.9. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)

The IR spectra of the suppositories with and without their respective bases were run as KBr pellets on impact 410 Nicolet FTIR spectrometer in the frequency range 4000–500 cm⁻¹.
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